# APPENDIX C Research Tables

#### TABLE 1 DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF TREATMENT AND CONTROL COMMUNITIES IN THE BAR COUPON STUDY

			Ge	neral F	opulat	ion					21-3	4 Year	Old Ta	arget		
	To	tal	Polk C	ounty	Dodg	eville	Tor	nah	To	tal	Polk C	County	Dodo	eville	Tor	nah
AGE	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
21-34	158	15.0	57	16.3	53	15.1	48	13.7	912	100.0	400	100.0	320	100.0	192	100
35+	887	84.5	291	83.1	297	84.9	299	85.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Refused	5	0.5	2	0.6	0	0.0	3	0.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
GENDER	_															
JENDER Male	383	36.5	146	417	118	33.7	119	34.0	356	39.0	175	43.8	112	35.0	69	35
Female	667	63.5	204	58.3	232	66.3	231	66.0	556	61.0	225		208		123	
	_															
EDUCATION																
Less than high school	59	5.6	32	9.1	14	4.0	13	3.7	16	1.8	9	2.3	4	1.3	3	1
High school graduate	376	35.8	121	34.6	118	33.7	137	39.1	236	25.9	95	23.8	77	24.1	64	33
Some college/tech school	337	32.1	119	34.0	104	29.7	114	32.6	324	35.5	144	36.0	109	34.1	71	37
College graduate	182	17.3	53	15.1	75	21.4	54	15.4	291	31.9	134	33.5	108	33.8	49	25
Post graduate degree	84	8.0	22	6.3	37	10.6	25	7.1	43	4.7	18	4.5	21	6.6	4	2
Refused	12	1.1	3	0.9	2	0.6	7	2.0	2	0.2	0	0.0	1	0.3	1	0
INCOME	$\neg$															
ess than \$15.000	98	9.3	36	10.3	36	10.3	26	7.4	41	4.5	18	4.5	9	2.8	14	7
\$15.000-\$34.999	233	22.2	88	25.1	68	19.4	77	22.0	180	19.7	77	19.3	65	20.3	38	19
\$35.000-\$54.999	236	22.5	84	24.0	73	20.9	79	22.6	267	29.3	109		99		59	
55,000-74,999	168	16.0	55	15.7	56	16.0	57	16.3	216	23.7	96	24.0	77	24.1	43	22
75,000 or more	114	10.9	30	8.6	46	13.1	38	10.9	105	11.5	42	10.5	44	13.8	19	g
Refused	201	19.1	57	16.3	71	20.3	73	20.9	103	11.3	58	14.5	26	8.1	19	

### TABLE 2 OCCUPATIONS AND/OR COMMUNITY ROLES OF COMMUNITY LEADERS

			Cor	nmunit	y Lead	ers		
	To	tal		County			Tor	nah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Police Chief	6	8.0	4	16.0	1	4.0	1	4.0
Clerk - Treasurer / Town Clerk	2	3.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	0	0.0
Supervisor for Hwy Dept.	1	1.0	0		1	4.0	0	0.0
Federal Employee	1	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
Editor of Democrat Tribune	1	1.0			1	4.0	0	0.0
Hwy Dept & Law Enforcement	1	1.0	0		1	4.0	0	0.0
Health Educator / Health Officer	2	3.0	_	4.0	1	4.0	0	0.0
City Administrator	1	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
Chamber of Commerce - Executive Director	1	1.0	_		0	0.0	1	4.0
Director of Environmental Services	1	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
Administrative Assistant	2	3.0		4.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
Recreational Therapist	1	1.0		0.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
Sheriff	1	1.0	_		1	4.0	0	0.0
Village President	4	5.0	_		0	0.0	0	
City Clerk	1	1.0		0.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
Corporate Manager	1	1.0			1	4.0	0	0.0
Manager / Assistant Manager	7	9.0	_	4.0	3	11.0	3	12.0
Officer in Charge Position	1	1.0			0	0.0	0	0.0
	2		_		2	7.0	0	_
Self Employed		3.0		0.0				0.0
City Council Member	1	1.0			1	4.0	0	_
Tires Sale	1	1.0	_	4.0	0	0.0	0	
Assistant Director of Dick's Supermarket	1	1.0	ļ		1	4.0	0	0.0
Retired worker	1	1.0	0	0.0	1	4.0	0	0.0
Hospital worker	1	1.0			1	4.0	0	0.0
Banker & President of Chamber of Commerce	3	4.0	1	4.0	0	0.0	2	8.0
CEO	1	1.0		4.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Ambulance Service Director	1	1.0	1	4.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Editor at Dodgeville Chronicle	1	1.0			1	4.0	0	0.0
Mayor / Insurance Sales	3	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0
EMT	2	3.0		8.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fireman / Fire Chief	3	4.0	·	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0
Postal Manager	1	1.0		0.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
Local business owner	5	6.0		8.0	1	4.0	2	8.0
Utility worker	1	1.0	0	0.0	1	4.0	0	0.0
Farmer	1	1.0	0	0.0	1	4.0	0	0.0
Police officer	1	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
Realtor	2	3.0	0	0.0	2	7.0	0	0.0
Ambulance Service Owner	1	1.0	1	4.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
News reporter	2	3.0	1	4.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
Hwy Commission	1	1.0	0	0.0	1	4.0	0	0.0
Account rep for radio	1	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
Chiropractor	1	1.0	0		1	4.0	0	0.0
Typesetter and proofreader	1	1.0			0	0.0	1	4.0
Truck driver	1	1.0			0	0.0	1	4.0
Water conditioner specialist	1	1.0		0.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
Travel agent	1	1.0		0.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
*ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF COMMUNITY LE				0.0		0.0		

# TABLE 3 DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF TREATMENT AND CONTROL COMMUNITIES OVER TIME IN THE BAR COUPON STUDY

	Total Age 21-34					
		M	en			
2002	n	n	%	n	%	
Treatment Total	710	145.0	20.4	94.0	13.2	
Polk County	174	51.0	29.3	27.0	15.5	
Dodgeville	96	16.0	16.7	11.0	11.5	
Tomah	440	78.0	17.7	56.0	12.7	
Control	693	205.0	29.6	127.0	18.3	

	Age	35+					
Me	Men Wome						
n	%	n	%				
275	38.7	196	27.6				
54	31.0	42	24.1				
44	45.8	25	26.0				
177	40.2	129	29.3				
204	29.4	157	22.7				

	Total		Age 2	21-34	
		M	en	Woi	men
2003	n	n	%	n	%
Treatment Total	573	118.0	20.6	88.0	15.4
Polk County	146	44.0	12.8	23.0	6.69
Dodgeville	97	13.0	3.78	12.0	3.49
Tomah	330	61.0	17.7	53.0	15.4
Control	371	69.0	20.1	69.0	20.1

	Age	35+					
Me	en	Women					
n	% n %						
251	43.8	116	20.2				
57	9.5	22	3.7				
50	8.3	22	3.7				
144	24.0	72	12.0				
130	21.7	103	17.2				

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM 2002 AND 2003 BAR COUPON PHONE SURVEY OF BOTH TREATMENT AND CONTROL GROUPS

### TABLE 4 AWARENESS OF ROAD CREW PROGRAM

			Ge	neral P	opulat	ion				
	To	Total Polk County Dodgeville Tomah								
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
Yes	718	68.4	198	56.6	247	70.6	273	78.0		
No	332	31.6	152	43.4	103	29.4	77	22.0		

			21-3	4 Year	Old Ta	arget			
	To	Total Polk County Dodgeville Tomah							
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Yes	644	70.6	254	63.5	240	75.0	150	78.1	
No	268	29.4	146	36.5	80	25.0	42	21.9	

			E	Bar Pei	rsonne	l				
	To	Total Polk County Dodgeville Tomah								
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
Yes	67	100.0	25	100.0	14	100.0	28	100.0		
No	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		

			Cor	nmunit	y Leac	lers				
	To	Total Polk County Dodgeville Tomah								
	n	n % n % n % r				n	%			
Yes	76	99.0	25	100.0	27	100.0	24	96.0		
No	1	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.0		

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF GENERAL POPULATION, TARGET, COMMUNITY LEADERS, AND BAR PERSONNEL

### TABLE 5 KNOWLEDGE OF ROAD CREW PROGRAM

			Ge	neral P	opulat	ion				
	To	Total Polk County Dodgeville Tomah								
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
Yes	569	79.2	167	84.3	185	74.9	217	79.5		
No	149	20.8	31	15.7	62	25.1	56	20.5		

			21-3	4 Year	Old Ta	arget			
	To	Total Polk County Dodgeville Tomah							
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Yes	582	90.4	227	89.4	222	92.5	133	88.7	
No	62	9.6	27	10.6	18	7.5	17	11.3	

			E	Bar Pei	rsonne			
	To	otal	Polk (	County	Dodg	eville	Tor	mah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Yes	66	98.5	28	100.0	14	100.0	24	96.0
No	1	1.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.0

			Cor	nmunit	y Leac	lers		
	To	tal	Polk C	County	Dodg	eville	Tor	nah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Yes	74	96.1	24	96.0	27	100.0	23	92.0
No	3	3.9	1	4.0	0	0.0	2	8.0

<sup>\*</sup>BOTH n AND % ARE BASED ON THOSE AWARE

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF GENERAL POPULATION, TARGET, COMMUNITY LEADERS, AND BAR PERSONNEL

### TABLE 6 KNOWLEDGE OF VARIOUS ASPECTS OF ROAD CREW PROGRAMS

				Polk C	County			
	Ger	neral	21-34	Year	В	ar	Comr	nunity
	Popu	lation	Old T	arget	Perso	onnel	Lea	ders
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Limos	97	49.0	153	60.2	4	16.0	12	48.0
Pay \$3 or \$5 for one ride (either amount is correct)	30	15.2	50	19.7	17	68.0	3	12.0
Pay \$15 for a whole night of rides	12	6.1	34	13.4	1	4.0	2	8.0
Bar owners sell tickets for riders	0	0.0	2	0.8	4	16.0	0	0.0
Can get ride to the bar at the beginning of the evening	21	10.6	32	12.6	8	32.0	1	4.0
Can bar hop from bar to bar	1	0.5	1	0.4	6	24.0	7	28.0
Can get ride home at end of night	34	17.2	72	28.3	18	72.0	12	48.0
Called the Party Barge	89	44.9	118	46.5	12	48.0	10	40.0
Sponsored tavern league	37	18.7	70	27.6	1	4.0	3	12.0
Safe Ride	22	11.1	25	0.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
All other	43	21.7	22	8.7	6	24.0	9	36.0
Wrong Answers	20	10.1	19	7.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Don't know/ no answer	17	8.6	15	5.9	0	0.0	0	0.0

				Dodo	eville			
	Ger	eral	21-34	Year	В	ar	Comr	munity
	Popu	lation	Old T	arget	Pers	onnel	Lea	ders
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Cost \$5 or \$10 (either amount is correct)	48	19.4	85	35.4	0	0.0	7	25.9
Available Friday & Saturday nights and for special events	13	5.3	7	2.9	0	0.0	1	3.7
Can call for a ride	84	34.0	89	37.1	0	0.0	8	29.6
Ask bar to call for a ride	12	4.9	10	4.2	0	0.0	2	7.4
Cars are limo, Cadillac, Lincoln Town Car or Van	43	17.4	72	30.0	0	0.0	4	14.8
Can get a ride to the bar at the beginning of the evening	19	7.7	34	14.2	6	42.9	4	14.8
Can bar hop from bar to bar	17	6.9	29	12.1	0	0.0	3	11.1
Can get ride home at end of night	78	31.6	96	40.0	0	0.0	11	40.7
Volunteer drivers will drive your car home for you	29	11.7	41	17.1	4	28.6	7	25.9
Called Road Crew	25	10.1	51	21.3	9	64.3	4	14.8
All other	19	7.7	15	6.2	3	21.4	14	51.9
Wrong Answers	46	18.6	21	8.8	4	28.6	0	0.0
Don't know/ no answer	35	14.2	12	5.0	1	7.1	0	0.0

				Ton	nah			
	Gen	eral	21-34	Year	В	ar	Comr	nunity
	Popu	lation	Old T	arget	Pers	onnel	Lea	ders
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Ace and/or AAA Cab Company provide service	120	44.0	79	52.7	9	32.1	9	37.5
Vouchers distributed by bartenders	41	15.0	38	25.3	13	46.4	11	45.8
Cost is \$2 off ride home, or \$2 coupon for food/games	25	9.2	11	7.3	15	53.6	2	8.3
5pm to closing time	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	14.3	0	0.0
Bartender can call for a ride	54	19.8	21	14.0	2	7.1	0	0.0
Leave car parked downtown and have parking ticket revoked	8	2.9	2	1.3	5	17.9	5	20.8
Sponsored by taverns / tavern league	30	11.0	7	4.7	1	3.6	1	4.2
available on New Years Eve/Holidays	15	5.5	15	10.0	0	0.0	3	12.5
All other	30	11.0	22	14.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
Wrong Responses	34	12.5	9	6.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Don't Know/ no answer	28	10.3	9	6.0	1	3.6	1	4.2

<sup>\*</sup>BOTH n AND % ARE BASED ON THOSE AWARE

 $<sup>{}^\</sup>star\!\text{ANALYSIS}$  DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF GENERAL POPULATION, TARGET,

COMMUNITY LEADERS, AND BAR PERSONNEL

<sup>\*</sup>COLUMNS SUM TO GREATER THAN 100% DUE TO MULTIPLE RESPONSES

#### TABLE 7 KNOWLEDGE OF BRAND NAME

			Ge	neral F	opulat	ion		
	To	otal	Polk C	County	Dodo	eville	Tor	mah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Road Crew	142	19.8	6	3.0	128	51.8	8	2.9
The Party Barge	163	22.7	150	75.8	11	4.5	2	0.7
Take a Cab on Our Tab	233	32.5	26	13.1	44	17.8	163	59.7
Safe Ride	229	31.9	62	31.3	86	34.8	81	29.7
Wrong Name	63	8.8	24	12.1	18	7.3	21	7.7
None	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Don't Know	255	35.5	75	37.9	92	37.2	88	32.2
			04.0	4 \/				
	<del></del>	otal		4 Year			Т	
		%		County %		eville %	n	nah %
Dood Crew	n		n 7		n 192		13	-,-
Road Crew	212	1		2.8			13	8.7
The Party Barge Take a Cab on Our Tab	253 152			91.7 9.4	16 40		88	2.7 58.7
Safe Ride	248	_			_		54	
Wrong Name	63			12.1	103		21	7.7
None	03			0.0	0		0	0.0
Don't Know	216			38.6			48	
DOIT KNOW	1 210	33.3	90	30.0	70	29.2	40	32.0
				Bar Pe				
		otal				eville		nah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Road Crew	41	0		21.0		100.0	21	84.0
The Party Barge	30			100.0	1		1	
Take a Cab On Our Tab	27			11.0	1	7.0	23	
Safe Ride	45	UU		93.0	9		10	
Wrong Name	1			0.0	0		1	4.0
None	4			0.0	0		4	16.0
Don't Know	5	18.0	6	43.0	3	12.0	14	21.0
			Con	nmunit	v Lead	ers		
	To	otal				eville	Tor	nah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Road Crew	35	46.0	3	12.0	23	85.0	9	38.0
The Party Barge	28	36.0	22	88.0	3	11.0	3	13.0
Take a Cab on Our Tab	23	30.0	2	8.0	4	15.0	17	71.0
Safe Ride	35	46.0	17	68.0	11	41.0	17	71.0
Sale Ride		5.0	2	8.0	2	7.0	0	0.0
Wrong Name	4	5.0		0.0		7.0		0.0
	23			28.0	8		8	33.0

COMMUNITY LEADERS, AND BAR PERSONNEL

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF GENERAL POPULATION, TARGET,

#### TABLE 8 SOURCES OF INFORMATION ABOUT ROAD CREW PROGRAM

			Ge	neral F	Populat	ion		
	To	tal		County		eville	Tor	nah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Radio	87	12.1	6	3.0	24	9.7	57	20.9
TV Commercials	63	8.8	6	3.0	36	14.6	21	7.7
TV News	72	10.0	12	6.1	22	8.9	38	13.9
Newspaper Ads	144	20.1	44	22.2	59	23.9	41	15.0
Newspaper Articles	206	28.7	26	13.1	71	28.7	109	39.9
Vehicle Signage	19	2.6	15	7.6	3	1.2	1	0.4
Posters in Bars	117	16.3	49	24.7	45	18.2	23	8.4
Staff in Bars	29	4.0	17	8.6	6	2.4	6	2.2
Word of Mouth	242	33.7	77	38.9	88	35.6	77	28.2
Know people who have used it	24	3.3	15	7.6	3	1.2	6	2.2
Flyers at local establishments	45	6.3	23	11.6	12	4.9	10	3.7
Road Crew T-Shirts	10	1.4	4	2.0	5	2.0	1	0.4
Other	48	6.7	20	10.1	12	4.9	16	5.9
Don't Remember/don't know	393	54.7	103	52.0	126	51.0	164	60.1

		21-3	4 Year	Old Ta	arget		
To	tal	Polk C	County	Dodo	eville	Ton	nah
n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
75	11.6	8	3.1	43	17.9	24	16.0
48	7.5	7	2.8	33	13.8	8	5.3
30	4.7	4	1.6	15	6.3	11	7.3
106	16.5	35	13.8	55	22.9	16	10.7
121	18.8	20	7.9	62	25.8	39	26.0
23	3.6	20	7.9	3	1.3	0	0.0
210	32.6	102	40.2	82	34.2	26	17.3
41	6.4	22	8.7	9	3.8	10	6.7
288	44.7	118	46.5	102	42.5	68	45.3
23	3.6	11	4.3	7	2.9	5	3.3
78	12.1	48	18.9	25	10.4	5	3.3
11	1.7	2	0.8	7	2.9	2	1.3
33	5.1	17	6.7	9	3.8	7	4.7
301	46.7	127	50.0	84	35.0	90	60.0

			E	Bar Pei	rsonne			
	To	tal	Polk C	County	Dodg	eville	Tor	nah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Radio	3	5.0	0	0.0	1	7.0	2	8.0
TV commercials	7	10.0	0	0.0	7	50.0	0	0.0
TV news	3	5.0	0	0.0	2	14.0	1	4.0
Newspaper ads	5	8.0	1	4.0	3	21.0	1	4.0
Newspaper articles	8	12.0	1	4.0	2	14.0	5	20.0
Vehicle Signage	1	2.0	1	4.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Posters in Bars	35	52.0	18	64.0	5	36.0	12	48.0
Word of Mouth	16	24.0	5	18.0	4	29.0	5	20.0
Know people who use it	2	3.0	1	4.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
Flyers at local establishments	15	22.0	6	21.0	4	29.0	5	20.0
Road Crew T-Shirts	13	20.0	0	0.0	4	29.0	5	20.0
Bar Workers	4	6.0	1	4.0	2	14.0	1	4.0
Bar Owners	14	21.0	7	25.0	3	21.0	4	16.0
Community Coalition	3	5.0	0	0.0	2	8.0	1	7.0
Police/Sheriff	1	2.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
Tavern League	26	39.0	16	57.0	6	43.0	4	16.0
City Council	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Other	2	3.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	8.0
Don't Remember/don't know	1	2.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.0

		Con	nmunit	v Lead	ers		
To	tal	Polk C	County	Doda	eville	Ton	nah
n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
8	10.0	3	12.0	4	15.0	1	4.0
7	9.0	1	4.0	6	22.0	0	0.0
6	8.0	1	4.0	5	19.0	0	0.0
11	14.0	2	8.0	6	22.0	3	13.0
28	36.0	14	56.0	4	15.0	10	42.0
4	5.0	4	16.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
28	36.0	13	52.0	8	30.0	7	28.0
26	34.0	11	44.0	8	30.0	7	28.0
1	1.0	0	0.0	1	4.0	0	0.0
6	8.0	1	4.0	2	7.0	3	12.0
2	3.0	0	0.0	1	4.0	1	4.0
4	5.0	0	0.0	2	8.0	2	8.0
1	1.0	0	0.0	1	4.0	0	0.0
6	8.0	0	0.0	6	22.0	0	0.0
2	3.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	8.0
3	4.0	2	8.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
9	12.0	0	0.0	4	15.0	5	21.0
24	30.0	10	40.0	5	18.0	9	38.0
16	21.0	4	16.0	6	22.0	6	25.0

<sup>\*</sup>BOTH n AND % ARE BASED ON THOSE AWARE

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF GENERAL POPULATION, TARGET, COMMUNITY LEADERS, AND BAR PERSONNEL

<sup>\*</sup>COLUMNS SUM TO GREATER THAN 100% DUE TO MULTIPLE RESPONSES

## TABLE 9 LEVEL OF CONCERN ABOUT DRIVING AFTER EXCESSIVE DRINKING HELD BY RESPONDENT BEFORE ONSET OF ROAD CREW PROGRAM

			Ge	neral P	opulati	on		
	То	tal	Polk C	County	Dodg	eville	Ton	nah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
A major problem	265	25.2	85	24.3	87	24.9	93	26.6
Somewhat of a problem	532	50.7	169	48.3	181	51.7	182	52.0
A minor problem	188	17.9	65	18.6	67	19.1	56	16.0
No problem at all	38	3.6	22	6.3	6	1.7	10	2.9
Don't Know	27	2.6	9	2.6	9	2.6	9	2.6
			21-3/	1 Vear	Old Ta	araet		
	То	tal		County			Ton	nah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
A major problem	218	23.9	102	25.5	65	20.3	51	26.6
Somewhat of a problem	463	50.8	188		179		96	50.0
A minor problem	186	20.4		21.8	63	19.7	36	18.8
No problem at all	19	2.1	11	2.8	7	2.2	1	0.5
Don't Know	26	2.9		3.0	6	1.9	8	4.2
				T	<u>sonnel</u>			
	То			County		eville		nah 2/
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	% 16.7
A major problem Somewhat of a problem	21 46	27.6			8	29.6	4	16/
Somewhat of a problem			4 4		4.0	E0 0	40	
•		60.5		56.0				66.7
A minor problem	8	10.5	2	8.0	3	11.1	3	66.7 12.5
A minor problem  No problem at all	8	10.5 0.0	2 0	8.0 0.0	3 0	11.1 0.0	3 0	66.7 12.5 0.0
A minor problem	8	10.5	2	8.0	3	11.1	3	66.7 12.5 0.0
A minor problem  No problem at all	8	10.5 0.0	2 0 0	8.0 0.0 0.0	3 0 0	11.1 0.0 0.0	3 0	66.7 12.5
A minor problem  No problem at all	8	10.5 0.0 1.3	2 0 0 Cor	8.0 0.0 0.0 nmunit	3 0 0 y Lead	11.1 0.0 0.0 ers	3 0 1	66.7 12.5 0.0
A minor problem  No problem at all	8 0 1	10.5 0.0 1.3	2 0 0 Cor	8.0 0.0 0.0 nmunit	3 0 0	11.1 0.0 0.0 ers	3 0 1	66.7 12.5 0.0 4.2
A minor problem  No problem at all	8 0 1	10.5 0.0 1.3 tal	2 0 0 Cor Polk C	8.0 0.0 0.0 nmunit	3 0 0 y Lead Dodg	11.1 0.0 0.0 ers eville	3 0 1 Tor	66.7 12.5 0.0 4.2 nah
A minor problem  No problem at all  Don't Know	8 0 1 1 To	10.5 0.0 1.3 tal	2 0 0 Cor Polk 0 n	8.0 0.0 0.0 nmunit County % 25.0	y Lead Dodg n	11.1 0.0 0.0 ers eville	3 0 1 Tor n 6	66.7 12.5 0.0 4.2 nah % 24.0
A minor problem  No problem at all  Don't Know  A major problem	8 0 1 To n 13	10.5 0.0 1.3 tal % 19.4	2 0 0 Cor Polk C n 7 15	8.0 0.0 0.0 nmunit County % 25.0	3 0 0 y Lead Dodg n 0 8	11.1 0.0 0.0 ers eville % 0.0	3 0 1 Tor n 6 15	66.7 12.5 0.0 4.2 nah % 24.0 60.0
A minor problem  No problem at all  Don't Know  A major problem  Somewhat of a problem	8 0 1 To n 13 38	10.5 0.0 1.3 tal % 19.4 56.7	2 0 0 Cor Polk C n 7 15	8.0 0.0 0.0 mmunit County % 25.0 53.6	3 0 0 y Lead Dodg n 0 8	11.1 0.0 0.0 ers eville % 0.0 57.1	3 0 1 Tor n 6 15	66.7 12.5 0.0 4.2 nah

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF GENERAL POPULATION, TARGET, COMMUNITY LEADERS, AND BAR PERSONNEL

# TABLE 10 PERCEPTION OF LEVEL OF CONCERN HELD BY GENERAL COMMUNITY ABOUT DRIVING AFTER EXCESSIVE DRINKING

					opulati			
	То	tal	Polk C		Dodg		Tor	nah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Very concerned	233	22.2	69	19.7	72	20.6	92	26.3
Somewhat concerned	580	55.2	196		196	56.0		53.7
Neither	65	6.2	24	6.9	16	4.6	25	7.
Somewhat unconcerned	103	9.8		8.6	42	12.0	31	8.9
very unconcerned	21	2.0		2.6		2.0	5	1.4
Don't Know	48	4.6	22	6.3	17	4.9	9	2.0
			21-3	4 Year	Old Ta	arget		
	То	tal			Dodg		Tor	nah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Very concerned	167	18.3	70	17.5	54	16.9	43	22.
Somewhat concerned	525	57.6	228	57.0	186	58.1	111	57.
Neither	98	10.7	51	12.8	33	10.3	14	7.
Somewhat unconcerned	89	9.8	34	8.5	37	11.6	18	9.
very unconcerned	13	1.4	8	2.0	3	0.9	2	1.
Don't Know	20	2.2	9	2.3	7	2.2	4	2.
	To	ıtal		Bar Per		eville	Tor	nah
		tal %	Polk C	County	Dodg			nah %
Very concerned	To n 18	%	Polk C	County %	Dodg n	%	Tor n	%
Very concerned Somewhat concerned	n		Polk C n 8	County % 28.6	Dodg	% 35.7	n 5	% 20.
Somewhat concerned	n 18 42	% 26.9 62.7	Polk C n 8 18	Sounty % 28.6 64.3	Dodg n 5	% 35.7 42.9	n 5	% 20. 72.
	n 18	% 26.9	Polk C n 8 18	County % 28.6	Dodg n 5	% 35.7	n 5 18	% 20. 72. 4.
Somewhat concerned Neither Somewhat unconcerned	n 18 42 5	% 26.9 62.7 7.5	Polk C n 8 18 2	% 28.6 64.3 7.1	Dodg n 5 6	% 35.7 42.9 14.3	n 5 18	% 20. 72. 4. 4.
Somewhat concerned Neither Somewhat unconcerned very unconcerned	n 18 42 5 2	% 26.9 62.7 7.5 3.0	Polk C n 8 18 2	% 28.6 64.3 7.1 0.0	Dodg n 5 6 2	% 35.7 42.9 14.3 7.1	n 5 18 1	
Somewhat concerned Neither	n 18 42 5 2	% 26.9 62.7 7.5 3.0 0.0	Polk 0 n 8 18 2 0 0	% 28.6 64.3 7.1 0.0 0.0	Dodg n 5 6 2 1 0	% 35.7 42.9 14.3 7.1 0.0 0.0	n 5 18 1 1	% 20. 72. 4. 4. 0.
Somewhat concerned Neither Somewhat unconcerned very unconcerned	n 18 42 5 2 0	% 26.9 62.7 7.5 3.0 0.0	Polk C n 8 18 2 0 0	28.6 64.3 7.1 0.0 0.0	Dodg n 5 6 2 1	% 35.7 42.9 14.3 7.1 0.0 0.0	n 5 18 1 1 0	% 20. 72. 4. 4. 0.
Somewhat concerned Neither Somewhat unconcerned very unconcerned	n 18 42 5 2 0	% 26.9 62.7 7.5 3.0 0.0	Polk C n 8 18 2 0 0	28.6 64.3 7.1 0.0 0.0	Dodg n 5 6 2 1 0 0	% 35.7 42.9 14.3 7.1 0.0 0.0	n 5 18 1 1 0	% 20. 72. 4. 4. 0.
Somewhat concerned Neither Somewhat unconcerned very unconcerned Don't Know	n 18 42 5 2 0 0	% 26.9 62.7 7.5 3.0 0.0 0.0	Polk C n 8 18 2 0 0 0 Cor Polk C	28.6 64.3 7.1 0.0 0.0 0.0	n 5 6 2 1 0 0 v Lead	35.7 42.9 14.3 7.1 0.0 0.0 ers	n 5 18 1 1 0 0	% 20. 72. 4. 0. 0.
Somewhat concerned Neither Somewhat unconcerned very unconcerned Don't Know	n 18 42 5 2 0 0 To n 21 46	% 26.9 62.7 7.5 3.0 0.0 0.0	Polk C  n  8  18  2  0  0  Cor  Polk C  n  5	28.6 64.3 7.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 mmunit	n 5 6 2 1 0 0 v Lead Dodg n	% 35.7 42.9 14.3 7.1 0.0 0.0 eville %	n 5 18 1 1 0 0	% 20. 72. 4. 4. 0. 0. mah % 32.
Somewhat concerned Neither Somewhat unconcerned very unconcerned Don't Know  Very concerned Somewhat concerned	n 18 42 5 2 0 0 To n 21	% 26.9 62.7 7.5 3.0 0.0 0.0 tal % 27.3	Polk C  n  8  18  2  0  0  Cor  Polk C  n  5  20	28.6 64.3 7.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 mmunit	n 5 6 2 1 0 0 vy Lead Dodg n 8	% 35.7 42.9 14.3 7.1 0.0 0.0 eville % 29.6	n 5 18 1 1 1 0 0 0 Torn 8	% 20. 72. 4. 0. 0. mah % 32. 48.
Somewhat concerned Neither Somewhat unconcerned very unconcerned Don't Know  Very concerned Somewhat concerned Neither	n 18 42 5 2 0 0 To n 21 46	% 26.9 62.7 7.5 3.0 0.0 0.0 tal % 27.3 59.7	Polk C n 8 18 2 0 0 0 Cor Polk C n 5 20 0	28.6 64.3 7.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 mmunit county % 20.0 80.0	n 5 6 2 1 1 0 0 vy Lead Dodg n 8 14	% 35.7 42.9 14.3 7.1 0.0 0.0 ers eville % 29.6 51.9	n 5 18 1 1 0 0 0 Tor n 8 12	% 20. 72. 4. 0. 0. mah % 32. 48. 8.
Somewhat concerned Neither Somewhat unconcerned very unconcerned	n 18 42 5 2 0 0 To n 21 46	% 26.9 62.7 7.5 3.0 0.0 0.0 tal % 27.3 59.7 2.6	Polk C n 8 18 2 0 0 0 Cor Polk C n 5 20 0	28.6 64.3 7.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 mmunit county % 20.0 80.0	Dodg n 5 6 2 1 0 0 y Lead Dodg n 8 14 0	% 35.7 42.9 14.3 7.1 0.0 0.0 ers eville % 29.6 51.9 0.0	n 5 18 1 1 0 0 0 Tor n 8 12 2 2	% 20. 72. 4. 0. 0.

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF GENERAL POPULATION, TARGET, COMMUNITY LEADERS, AND BAR PERSONNEL

#### TABLE 11 HOW RESPONDENTS FEEL ABOUT THE ROAD CREW

	General Population								
	То		Polk C	County			Tor	nah	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Very Positive	496	69.1	141	71.2	181	73.3	174	63.7	
Somewhat Positive	150	20.9	39	19.7	43	17.4	68	24.9	
Neither Pos nor Neg	31	4.3		4.0	10	4.0		4.8	
Somewhat Negative	6	0.8		1.0	2	0.8		0.7	
Very Negative	5	0.7	2	1.0	1	0.4		0.7	
Don't Know	30	4.2	6	3.0	10	4.0	14	5.1	
			21-3	4 Year	Old T	argot			
	То	tal		County			Tor	nah	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Very Positive	459	71.3	174	68.5	191	79.6	94	62.7	
Somewhat Positive	128	19.9	54	21.3	33	13.8	41	27.3	
Neither Pos nor Neg	29	4.5	13	5.1	10	4.2	6	4.0	
Somewhat Negative	7	1.1	5	2.0	1	0.4	1	0.7	
Very Negative	4	0.6	1	0.4	1	0.4	2	1.3	
Don't Know	17	2.6	7	2.8	4	1.7	6	4.0	
	r								
				<u> Bar Per</u>					
	То	tal	Polk C	:Ounty	Doda	eville	Tor	-a-a-la	
1					Doag		101		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Very Positive	61	91.4	n 26	% 92.9		% 100.0	n 21	% 84.0	
Somewhat Positive	61 5	91.4 7.5	n 26 2	% 92.9 7.1	n 14 0	% 100.0 0.0	n 21 3	% 84.0 12.0	
Somewhat Positive Neither Pos nor Neg	61 5 1	91.4 7.5 1.5	n 26 2	% 92.9 7.1 0.0	n 14 0	% 100.0 0.0 0.0	n 21	% 84.0 12.0 4.0	
Somewhat Positive Neither Pos nor Neg Somewhat Negative	61 5 1	91.4 7.5 1.5 0.0	n 26 2 0	% 92.9 7.1 0.0 0.0	n 14 0 0	% 100.0 0.0 0.0	n 21 3 1	% 84.0 12.0 4.0 0.0	
Somewhat Positive Neither Pos nor Neg Somewhat Negative Very Negative	61 5 1 0	91.4 7.5 1.5 0.0 0.0	n 26 2 0 0	% 92.9 7.1 0.0 0.0	n 14 0 0 0	% 100.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	n 21 3 1 0	% 84.0 12.0 4.0 0.0 0.0	
Somewhat Positive Neither Pos nor Neg Somewhat Negative	61 5 1	91.4 7.5 1.5 0.0	n 26 2 0	% 92.9 7.1 0.0 0.0	n 14 0 0	% 100.0 0.0 0.0	n 21 3 1	% 84.0 12.0 4.0 0.0	
Somewhat Positive Neither Pos nor Neg Somewhat Negative Very Negative	61 5 1 0	91.4 7.5 1.5 0.0 0.0	n 26 2 0 0	% 92.9 7.1 0.0 0.0 0.0	n 14 0 0 0	% 100.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	n 21 3 1 0	% 84.0 12.0 4.0 0.0 0.0	
Somewhat Positive Neither Pos nor Neg Somewhat Negative Very Negative	61 5 1 0 0	91.4 7.5 1.5 0.0 0.0	n 26 2 0 0 0	% 92.9 7.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	n 14 0 0 0 1 0	% 100.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	n 21 3 1 0 0	% 84.0 12.0 4.0 0.0 0.0	
Somewhat Positive Neither Pos nor Neg Somewhat Negative Very Negative	61 5 1 0	91.4 7.5 1.5 0.0 0.0	n 26 2 0 0 0	% 92.9 7.1 0.0 0.0 0.0	n 14 0 0 0	% 100.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	n 21 3 1 0 0	% 84.0 12.0 4.0 0.0 0.0	
Somewhat Positive Neither Pos nor Neg Somewhat Negative Very Negative	61 5 1 0 0 0	91.4 7.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0	n 26 2 0 0 0 0 Cor Polk 0	% 92.9 7.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	n 14 0 0 1 1 0 y Leac	% 100.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	n 21 3 1 0 0 0 0 Tor	% 84.0 12.0 4.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	
Somewhat Positive Neither Pos nor Neg Somewhat Negative Very Negative Don't Know	61 5 1 0 0 0	91.4 7.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0	n 26 2 0 0 0 0 Cor Polk C n	% 92.9 7.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	n 14 0 0 0 1 0 y Leac Dodg	% 100.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	n 21 3 1 0 0 0 0 Torn 17	% 84.0 12.0 4.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	
Somewhat Positive Neither Pos nor Neg Somewhat Negative Very Negative Don't Know  Very Positive	61 5 1 0 0 0 To	91.4 7.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 tal %	n 26 2 0 0 0 0 Cor Polk C	% 92.9 7.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0  mmunit County % 80.0	n 14 0 0 1 1 0 y Leac Dodg n 21	% 100.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 77.8	n 21 3 1 0 0 0 0 Torn 17	% 84.0 12.0 4.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 mah % 70.8	
Somewhat Positive Neither Pos nor Neg Somewhat Negative Very Negative Don't Know  Very Positive Somewhat Positive Neither Pos nor Neg	61 5 1 0 0 0 To n 58	91.4 7.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 tal % 76.3 9.2	26 2 0 0 0 0 Corr Polk C n 20 1	% 92.9 7.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0  mmunit County % 80.0 4.0	n 14 0 0 1 0 y Leac Dodg n 21	% 100.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4ers eville % 77.8 14.8	n 21 3 1 0 0 0 0 Tor n 17 2	% 84.0 12.0 4.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 mah % 70.8 8.3	
Somewhat Positive Neither Pos nor Neg Somewhat Negative Very Negative Don't Know  Very Positive Somewhat Positive	61 5 1 0 0 0 To n 58 7	91.4 7.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 tal % 76.3 9.2 3.9	26 2 0 0 0 0 Corr Polk C n 20 1	% 92.9 7.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0  mmunit County % 80.0 4.0 4.0	n 14 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	% 100.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 10ers eville % 77.8 14.8 3.7	7 n 21 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	% 84.0 12.0 4.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 70.8 8.3 4.2	

<sup>\*</sup>BOTH n AND % ARE BASED ON THOSE AWARE

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF GENERAL POPULATION, TARGET, COMMUNITY LEADERS, AND BAR PERSONNEL

#### TABLE 12 LISTING OF POSITIVE FEATURES OF ROAD CREW

		General Population								
	To	Total Polk County Dodgeville					Tor	Tomah		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
Reduce/ Eliminate drunk drivers on the road	87	12.1	6	3.0	24	9.7	57	20.9		
Less accidents/ can't cause accidents	63	8.8	6	3.0	36	14.6	21	7.7		
Another option to get home	72	10.0	12	6.1	22	8.9	38	13.9		
Inexpensive	144	20.1	44	22.2	59	23.9	41	15.0		
Safer / for the one drinking / for others	206	28.7	26	13.1	71	28.7	109	39.9		
Saves lives / less accidents	19	2.6	15	7.6	3	1.2	1	0.4		
All other (50 categories)	117	16.3	49	24.7	45	18.2	23	8.4		
Don't know / no answer	29	4.0	17	8.6	6	2.4	6	2.2		

		21-3	4 Year	Old T	arget		
To	tal			Dodgeville		Tor	nah
n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
75	11.6	8	3.1	43	17.9	24	16.0
48	7.5	7	2.8	33	13.8	8	5.3
30	4.7	4	1.6	15	6.3	11	7.3
106	16.5	35	13.8	55	22.9	16	10.7
121	18.8	20	7.9	62	25.8	39	26.0
23	3.6	20	7.9	3	1.3	0	0.0
210	32.6	102	40.2	82	34.2	26	17.3
41	6.4	22	8.7	9	3.8	10	6.7

	Bar Personnel							
	To	tal	Polk C	County	Dodg	eville	Tor	nah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Don't worry about DWIs / not driving drunk	3	5.0	0	0.0	1	7.0	2	8.0
Avoid accidents / get home safely	7	10.0	0	0.0	7	50.0	0	0.0
People use it / people more responsible	3	5.0	0	0.0	2	14.0	1	4.0
Drive to remote areas / rural areas	5	8.0	1	4.0	3	21.0	1	4.0
Allows you to go out and have a good time without worries	8	12.0	1	4.0	2	14.0	5	20.0
People like limos / 5 or 6 people can use	1	2.0	1	4.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Inexpensive	35	52.0	18	64.0	5	36.0	12	48.0
Can get car brought home	16	24.0	5	18.0	4	29.0	5	20.0
All other (9 categories)	2	3.0	1	4.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
Flyers at local establishments	15	22.0	6	21.0	4	29.0	5	20.0
Road Crew T-Shirts	13	20.0	0	0.0	4	29.0	5	20.0
Bar Workers	4	6.0	1	4.0	2	14.0	1	4.0
Bar Owners	14	21.0	7	25.0	3	21.0	4	16.0
Community Coalition	3	5.0	0	0.0	2	8.0	1	7.0
Police/Sheriff	1	2.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
Tavern League	26	39.0	16	57.0	6	43.0	4	16.0
City Council	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Other	2	3.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	8.0
Don't Remember/don't know	1	2.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
*BOTH n AND % ARE BASED ON THOSE AWARE								

		Con	nmunit	/ Lead	ers		
То	tal	Polk C			eville	Tor	nah
n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
8	10.0	3	12.0	4	15.0	1	4.0
7	9.0	1	4.0	6	22.0	0	0.0
6	8.0	1	4.0	5	19.0	0	0.0
11	14.0	2	8.0	6	22.0	3	13.0
28	36.0	14	56.0	4	15.0	10	42.0
4	5.0	4	16.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
28	36.0	13	52.0	8	30.0	7	28.0
26	34.0	11	44.0	8	30.0	7	28.0
1	1.0	0	0.0	1	4.0	0	0.0
6	8.0	1	4.0	2	7.0	3	12.0
2	3.0	0	0.0	1	4.0	1	4.0
4	5.0	0	0.0	2	8.0	2	8.0
1	1.0	0	0.0	1	4.0	0	0.0
6	8.0	0	0.0	6	22.0	0	0.0
2	3.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	8.0
3	4.0	2	8.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
9	12.0	0	0.0	4	15.0	5	21.0
24	30.0	10	40.0	5	18.0	9	38.0
16	21.0	4	16.0	6	22.0	6	25.0

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF GENERAL POPULATION, TARGET, COMMUNITY LEADERS, AND BAR PERSONNEL \*COLUMNS SUM TO GREATER THAN 100% DUE TO MULTIPLE RESPONSES

#### TABLE 13 LISTING OF NEGATIVE FEATURES OF THE ROAD CREW

			Ge	neral F	opulat	ion		
	To	tal	Polk C	County	Dodg	eville	Tor	nah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
None	381	53.1	108	54.5	119	48.2	154	56.4
Encourages Drinking	76	10.6	21	10.6	26	10.5	29	10.6
Not enough Cars	4	0.6	1	0.5	2	0.8	1	0.4
Some people abuse it	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Not available every day of the week	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Not everyone is aware of program	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
All other (15 categories)	160	22.3	40	20.2	61	24.7	59	21.6
Don't know / no answer	99	13.8	28	14.1	40	16.2	31	11.4

			21-3	4 Year	Old Ta	arget		
	Total		Polk (	County	Dodgeville		Tor	nah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
None	325	50.5	131	51.6	124	51.7	70	46.7
Encourages Drinking	77	12.0	36	14.2	28	11.7	13	8.7
Not enough Cars	28	4.3	12	4.7	13	5.4	3	2.0
Some people abuse it	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Not available every day of the week	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Not everyone is aware of program	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
All other (15 categories)	151	23.4	54	21.3	53	22.1	44	29.3
Don't know / no answer	71	11.0	26	10.2	25	10.4	20	13.3

			Е	Bar Per	sonnel			
	To	Total		County	Dodgeville		Tor	nah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
None	38	57.0	14	50.0	10	71.0	14	56.0
Encourages Drinking	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Not enough Cars	3	5.0	2	7.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
Some people abuse it	5	8.0	0	0.0	1	7.0	4	16.0
Not available every day of the week	5	8.0	4	13.0	1	7.0	0	0.0
Not everyone is aware of program	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
All other (15 categories)	10	15.0	7	25.0	0	0.0	3	12.0
Don't know / no answer	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

			Cor	nmunit	y Lead	ders		
	Total		Polk (	County	Dodgeville		Tor	nah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
None	24	32.0	4	17.0	11	41.0	9	38.0
Encourages Drinking	19	25.0	7	28.0	8	29.0	4	17.0
Not enough Cars	4	5.0	3	12.0	1	4.0	0	0.0
Some people abuse it	6	8.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	4	17.0
Not available every day of the week	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Not everyone is aware of program	4	5.0	0	0.0	1	4.0	3	13.0
All other (18 categories)	20	27.0	5	20.0	6	22.0	9	38.0
Don't know / no answer	1	1.0	0	0.0	1	4.0	0	0.0

<sup>\*</sup>BOTH n AND % ARE BASED ON THOSE AWARE

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF GENERAL POPULATION, TARGET,

COMMUNITY LEADERS, AND BAR PERSONNEL

<sup>\*</sup>COLUMNS SUM TO GREATER THAN 100% DUE TO MULTIPLE RESPONSES

## TABLE 14 HOW COMMUNITY LEADERS AND BAR PERSONNEL WOULD IMPROVE THE PROGRAMS

	Bar Personnel							
	To	tal	Polk C	County	Dodg	eville	Tor	nah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
More advertisement	6	9.0	2	8.0	0	0.0	4	14.3
Nothing	5	7.5	2	8.0	3	21.4	0	0.0
Educate bartenders and owners more	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
All other (8 categories)	14	18.4	4	16.0	6	22.2	4	16.7
Don't know / no answer	5	7.5	3	12.0	0	0.0	2	7.1

	Community Leaders								
	To	ıtal	Polk C	County	Dodg	eville	Tor	mah	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
More advertisement	14	25.0	5	31.3	1	6.3	8	40.0	
Nothing	2	3.6	1	6.3	1	6.3		0.0	
Educate bartenders and owners more	3	5.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	15.0	
All other (11 categories)	14	25.0	8	50.0	1	6.3	5	25.0	
Don't know / no answer	22	39.3	7	43.8	8	50.0	7	35.0	

<sup>\*</sup>BOTH n AND % ARE BASED ON THOSE AWARE

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF COMMUNITY LEADERS AND BAR PERSONNEL

### TABLE 15 WAS THERE A POSITIVE IMPACT ON THE COMMUNITY?

		General Population									
	Total Polk Count			County	Dodg	eville	Tor	nah			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%			
Yes	440	61.3	129	65.2	138	55.9	173	63.4			
Don't know	210	29.2	45	22.7	78	31.6	87	31.9			
No	68	9.5	24	12.1	31	12.6	13	4.8			

		21-34 Year Old Target										
	То	tal	Polk (	County	Dodg	eville	Tor	nah				
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%				
Yes	482	74.8	188	74.0	182	75.8	112	74.7				
Don't know	124	19.3	54	21.3	38	15.8	32	21.3				
No	38	5.9	12	4.7	20	8.3	6	4.0				

		Bar Personnel										
	Total			County	Dodg	eville	Tor	mah				
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%				
Yes	66	98.5	28	100.0	13	92.9	25	100.0				
Don't know	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
No	1	1.5	0	0.0	1	7.1	0	0.0				

		Community Leaders										
	То	tal	Polk (	County	Dodg	eville	Tor	nah				
	n	%	n %		n	%	n	%				
Yes	60	79.0	21	84.0	21	78.0	18	75.0				
Don't know	12	16.0	3	12.0	5	19.0	4	17.0				
No	4	5.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	2	8.0				

<sup>\*</sup>BOTH n AND % ARE BASED ON THOSE AWARE

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF GENERAL POPULATION, TARGET, COMMUNITY LEADERS, AND BAR PERSONNEL

### TABLE 16 WAS THERE A NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE COMMUNITY?

		General Population									
	To	tal	Polk C	County	Dodg	eville	Tor	nah			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%			
Yes	19	2.6	5	2.5	3	1.2	11	4.0			
Don't know	106	14.8	31	15.7	38	15.4	37	13.6			
No	593	82.6	162	81.8	206	83.4	225	82.4			

		21-34 Year Old Target									
	То	tal	Polk (	County	Dodg	eville	Tor	nah			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%			
Yes	17	2.6	9	3.5	6	2.5	2	1.3			
Don't know	51	7.9	25	9.8	15	6.3	11	7.3			
No	576	89.4	220	86.6	219	91.3	137	91.3			

		Bar Personnel									
	To	tal	Polk (	County	Dodg	eville	Tor	mah			
_	n	%	n	%	n	<del></del>		%			
Yes	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0			
Don't know	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0			
No	67	100.0	28	100.0	14	100.0	25	100.0			

		Community Leaders									
	To	ıtal	Polk (	County	Dodg	eville	Tor	nah			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%			
Yes	2	3.0	0	0.0	1	4.0	1	4.0			
Don't know	6	8.0	0	0.0	3	11.0	3	13.0			
No	68	89.0	25	100.0	23	85.0	20	83.0			

<sup>\*</sup>BOTH n AND % ARE BASED ON THOSE AWARE

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF GENERAL POPULATION, TARGET, COMMUNITY LEADERS, AND BAR PERSONNEL

### TABLE 17 LISTING OF POSITIVE IMPACT ISSUES OF THE ROAD CREW

			Ge	neral F	Populat	tion		
	To	tal	Polk C	County	Dodg	jeville	Tor	mah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Get people off the road / keep drunks off the road	171	38.9	59	45.7	46	33.3	66	38.2
Saw several people using it / lots of people use it	88	20.0	31	24.0	27	19.6	30	17.3
Less accidents	71	16.1	20	15.5	20	14.5	31	17.9
No DWI's / Less DWI's	32	7.3	10	7.8	15	10.9	7	4.0
Safer / creates safer highways / safe ride home	45	10.2	17	13.2	15	10.9	13	7.5
All Other (23 categories)	73	10.2	16	8.1	30	12.1	27	9.9
Don't know / no answer	35	8.0	5	3.9	10	7.2	20	11.6

			21-3	4 Year	Old T	arget		
	To	tal	Polk C	County	Dodg	geville	Tor	mah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Get people off the road / keep drunks off the road	222	50.5	96	51.1	79	43.4	47	42.0
Saw several people using it / lots of people use it	109	24.8	39	20.7	45	24.7	25	22.3
Less accidents	59	13.4	23	12.2	21	11.5	15	13.4
No DWI's / Less DWI's	28	6.4	19	10.1	5	2.7	4	3.6
Safer / creates safer highways / safe ride home	53	12.0	20	10.6	22	12.1	11	9.8
Saves Lives	27	6.1	6	3.2	11	6.0	10	8.9
All Other (23 categories)	92	14.3	31	12.2	42	17.5	19	12.7
Don't know / no answer	24	5.5	8	4.3	8	4.4	8	7.1

			E	Bar Per	sonnel			
	То	tal	Polk C	ounty	Dodg	eville	Tor	nah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Less accidents	8	11.9	2	7.1	2	14.3	4	16.0
Less drunk driving / keeps drunks off road	30	44.8	14	50.0	6	42.9	10	40.0
Safer on the roads	15	22.4	6	21.4	4	28.6	5	20.0
Lots of people use it / I've used it	12	17.9	6	21.4	2	14.3	4	16.0
More people responsible for their actions	6	9.0	5	17.9	0	0.0	1	4.0
All other (4 categories)	18	26.9	5	17.9	5	35.7	8	32.0
Don't know / no answer	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

	Community Leaders									
	То	tal	Polk C	County	Dodg	eville	Tor	nah		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
People are using it	16	21.3	1	4.2	5	18.7	10	42.0		
Less DWIs	12	15.8	4	16.0	3	10.9	5	21.0		
Less people driving drunk	21	27.6	7	27.7	7	25.7	7	29.3		
Safer	10	13.4	4	16.0	6	22.6	0	0.0		
Less accidents	8	10.3	4	16.0	3	10.9	1	4.5		
Increase awareness of drinking and driving	5	6.3	0	0.0	3	10.9	2	8.3		
All other (10 categories)	20	26.8	9	37.0	6	23.3	5	21.0		
Don't know / no answer	2	2.4	0	0.0	1	3.9	1	4.5		

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF GENERAL POPULATION, TARGET, COMMUNITY LEADERS, AND BAR PERSONNEL

<sup>\*</sup>COLUMNS SUM TO GREATER THAN 100% DUE TO MULTIPLE RESPONSES

#### TABLE 18 LISTING OF NEGATIVE FEATURES OF THE ROAD CREW

	General Population									
	To	tal	Polk C	County	Dodo	eville	Tor	mah		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
None	381	53.1	108	54.5	119	48.2	154	56.4		
Encourages drinking / undisciplined drinking	76	10.6	21	10.6	26	10.5	29	10.6		
All other (65 categories)	164	22.8	41	20.7	63	25.5	60	22.0		
Don't know / no answer	99	13.8	28	14.1	40	16.2	31	11.4		

	21-34 Year Old Target									
	То	tal	Polk C	county	Dodgeville		Tor	mah		
	n	%	n	%	n	%				
None	325	50.5	131	51.6	124	51.7	70	46.7		
Encourages drinking / undisciplined drinking	77	12.0	36	14.2	28	11.7	13	8.7		
All other (64 categories)	179	27.8	66	26.0	66	27.5	47	31.3		
Don't know / no answer	71	11.0	26	10.2	25	10.4	20	13.3		

	Bar Personnel									
	To	otal	Polk C	County	Dodo	eville	Toı	mah		
					%	n	%			
None	38	56.7	14	50.0	10	71.4	14	56.0		
Gets abused by some people who don't drink	5	7.5	0	0.0	1	7.1	4	16.0		
Not every day of the week / needs to operate everyday	5	7.5	4	14.3	1	7.1	0	0.0		
All other (16 categories)	20	29.9	12	42.9	2	14.3	6	24.0		

			Con	nmunit	v Lead	ers		
	To	tal	Polk C	County	Dodo	eville	Tor	mah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
None	24	31.6	4	16.0	11	40.7	9	37.5
Some people won't use that should	3	3.9	2	8.0		0.0	4	16.7
Encourages drinking / drink too much because they have ride	19	25.0	7	28.0	8	29.6	4	16.7
Some people abuse it	6	7.9	1	4.0	1	3.7	4	16.7
Not enough cars	4	5.3	3	12.0	1	3.7	0	0.0
Not everyone is aware of the program / don't know enough	4	5.3	0	0.0	1	3.7	3	12.5
All Other (18 categories)	24	31.6	5	20.0	6	22.2	13	54.2
Don't know / no answer	1	1.3	0	0.0	4	14.8	0	0.0

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF GENERAL POPULATION, TARGET,

COMMUNITY LEADERS, AND BAR PERSONNEL
\*COLUMNS SUM TO GREATER THAN 100% DUE TO MULTIPLE RESPONSES

### TABLE 19 THE ROAD CREW AS A TOPIC OF COMMUNITY INTEREST

		Bar Personnel											
	Total Polk County Dodgeville Toma						mah						
	n	%	n	%	n %		n	%					
Yes	53	79.0	22	79.0	12	86.0	19	76.0					
No	14	21.0	6	21.0	2	14.0	6	24.0					
Don't know	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0					

			Co	mmuni	ty Lea	ders		
	Т	Total Polk County			Dod	geville	То	mah
	n	%	n	%	n %		n	%
Yes	48	63.0	17	68.0	18	67.0	13	54.0
No	27	36.0	8	32.0	8	30.0	11	46.0
Don't know	1	1.0	0	0.0	1	4.0	0	0.0

<sup>\*</sup>BOTH n AND % ARE BASED ON THOSE AWARE

#### TABLE 20 COMMENTS RECEIVED ABOUT THE ROAD CREW

			Е	Bar Per	sonnel			
	Total		Polk C	county	Dodo	eville	Tor	nah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
People enjoy ride home	39	58.5	17	60.5	8	57.4	14	56.2
People are not out driving drunk	4	6.3	3	11.0	0	0.0	1	3.8
Don't have to worry about hurting somebody or about cops	6	8.7	2	7.1	2	14.6	2	8.4
Can drink and get a safe ride home	5	7.1	2	7.1	2	14.6	1	3.8
Other areas wish they had this program	3	4.7	0	0.0	3	21.4	0	0.0
Rather pay for ride than DWI	3	4.7	0	0.0	2	14.6	1	3.8
All other (18 categories)	27	39.6	14	50.3	2	13.7	10	40.3

			Cor	nmunit	y Lead	ders		
	To	tal	Polk County		Dodo	eville	Tor	nah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
It is an option for people who are drinking	9	12.0	4	16.3	2	7.3	3	12.5
Good idea / good program	16	20.8	5	19.7	7	26.0	4	16.8
People are using it / people comfortable using it	10	13.3	2	8.2	4	14.7	4	16.8
Saves on fines	3	3.8	0	0.0	3	11.3	0	0.0
Bar owners are positive to it	5	6.3	0	0.0	1	4.0	4	16.8
All Other (17 categories)	29	37.9	10	40.8	17	61.3	4	17.3
Don't know / no answer	1	1.3	1	4.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
TROTH AND WARE BASED ON THOSE ANABE								

<sup>\*</sup>BOTH n AND % ARE BASED ON THOSE AWARE

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF COMMUNITY LEADERS AND BAR PERSONNEL

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF COMMUNITY LEADERS AND BAR PERSONNEL

<sup>\*</sup>COLUMNS SUM TO GREATER THAN 100% DUE TO MULTIPLE RESPONSES

### TABLE 21 PERCEPTIONS OF WHETHER THE ROAD CREW SHOULD CONTINUE

		Bar Personnel										
	Т	otal	Polk	County	Dod	geville	То	mah				
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%				
Yes	66	98.5	28	100.0	14	100.0	24	96.0				
No	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
Don't know	1	1.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.0				

			Co	mmuni	ty Lea	ders		
	Т	otal	Polk	County	Dod	geville	То	mah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Yes	67	88.2	23	92.0	26	96.3	18	75.0
No	3	3.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	12.5
Don't know	6	7.9	2	8.0	1	3.7	3	12.5

<sup>\*</sup>BOTH n AND % ARE BASED ON THOSE AWARE

### TABLE 22 PERCEPTIONS OF WHETHER THE ROAD CREW <u>WILL</u> CONTINUE

		Bar Personnel										
	Т	Total Polk County Dodgeville						omah				
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%				
Yes	57	85.1	25	89.3	12	85.7	20	80.0				
No	5	7.5	2	7.1	2	14.3	1	4.0				
Don't know	5	7.5	1	3.6	0	0.0	4	16.0				

			Co	mmuni	ty Lea	ders			
	Т	Total Polk County Dodgeville Tomah							
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Yes	48	63.2	17	68.0	19	70.4	13	54.2	
No	6	7.9	0	0.0	1	3.7	5	20.8	
Don't know	22	28.9	8	32.0	7	25.9	7	29.2	

<sup>\*</sup>BOTH n AND % ARE BASED ON THOSE AWARE

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF COMMUNITY LEADERS AND BAR PERSONNEL

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF COMMUNITY LEADERS AND BAR PERSONNEL

### TABLE 23 JUSTIFICATION FOR THE PERCEPTIONS OF WHETHER THE ROAD CREW <u>SHOULD</u> CONTINUE

			Е	ar Per	sonnel			
	To	tal	Polk (	County	Dodg	eville	Tor	nah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Keep people off road when drinking / safer	44	66.7	19	67.9	10	71.4	15	62.5
Keep business open	5	7.58	4	14.3	0	0.0	1	4.17
Great service for everyone / alternative to drinking	13	19.7	4	14.3	3	21.4	6	25
Less accidents	6	9.09	2	7.14	2	14.3	2	8.33
People use it	7	10.6	2	7.14	1	7.14	4	16.7
It is working	6	9.09	2	7.14	1	7.14	3	12.5
All other (8 categories)	12	18.2	4	14.3	3	21.4	5	20.8

	Community Leaders							
	Total Polk County Dodgeville To						Tor	nah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Keeps drunk drivers off road	32	47.0	14	61.0	10	38.0	8	42.0
Should be in all communities	2	3.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	11.0
How will it be funded	5	7.0	0	0.0	2	8.0	3	16.0
Saves lives	11	16.0	4	17.0	7	27.0	0	0.0
People are using it	6	9.0	0	0.0	5	19.0	1	5.0
It is an option instead of drinking and driving	5	7.0	2	9.0	1	4.0	2	11.0
Positive / good program	8	12.0	4	17.0	2	8.0	2	11.0
Safer / safer on high ways	19	28.0	7	30.0	7	27.0	5	26.0
All other (12 categories)	14.3	21	5.75	25	9.36	36	1.9	10

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF COMMUNITY LEADERS AND BAR PERSONNEL

### TABLE 24 SUPPORT FOR BEHAVIOR CHANGE PROGRAMS IN COMMUNITIES

	Bar Personnel								
	Т	otal	Polk	County	Dod	geville	То	mah	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Strongly support this type of program	58	87.0	26	93.0	14	100.0	18	72.0	
Somewhat support this type of program	5	7.0	1	4.0	0	0.0	4	16.0	
Strongly believe that it is up to the individual	2	3.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	8.0	
Somewhat believe that it is up to the individual	2	3.0	1	4.0	0	0.0	1	4.0	

	Community Leaders							
	Т	otal	Polk	County	Dod	geville	То	mah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Strongly support this type of program	42	55.0	11	44.0	19	70.0	12	48.0
Somewhat support this type of program	24	31.0	12	48.0	4	15.0	8	32.0
Strongly believe that it is up to the individual	6	8.0	0	0.0	2	7.0	4	16.0
Somewhat believe that it is up to the individual	1	1.0	0	0.0	1	4.0	0	0.0

<sup>\*</sup>BOTH n AND % ARE BASED ON THOSE AWARE

AND BAR PERSONNEL

<sup>\*</sup>COLUMNS SUM TO GREATER THAN 100% DUE TO MULTIPLE RESPONSES

<sup>\*</sup>THERE ARE 8 CASES WITH MISSING DATA FOR COMMUNITY LEADERS

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF COMMUNITY LEADERS

### TABLE 25 PERCEPTION OF NUMBER OF PEOPLE GOING TO BARS

		General Population								
	Т	otal	Polk	County	Dod	geville	То	mah		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
Increased	34	4.7	16	8.1	6	2.4	12	4.4		
Decreased	41	5.7	10	5.1	9	3.6	22	8.1		
Stayed about the same	476	66.3	146	73.7	157	63.6	173	63.4		
Don't know	167	23.3	26	13.1	75	30.4	66	24.2		

	21-34 Year Old Target									
	Т	Total		Polk County		geville	То	mah		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
Increased	54	8.4	29	11.4	11	4.6	14	9.3		
Decreased	8	1.2	1	0.4	5	2.1	2	1.3		
Stayed about the same	502	78.0	191	75.2	194	80.8	117	78.0		
Don't know	80	12.4	33	13.0	30	12.5	17	11.3		

	Bar Personnel									
	Т	otal	Polk	County	Dod	geville	То	mah		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
Increased	18	27.0	9	32.0	3	21.0	6	24.0		
Decreased	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Stayed about the same	49	73.0	19	68.0	11	79.0	19	76.0		
Don't know	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		

	Community Leaders									
	Т	otal	Polk	County	Dod	geville	То	mah		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
Increased	5	7.0	4	16.0	1	4.0	0	0.0		
Decreased	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Stayed about the same	47	62.0	16	64.0	12	44.0	19	79.0		
Don't know	24	32.0	5	20.0	14	52.0	5	21.0		

<sup>\*</sup>BOTH n AND % ARE BASED ON THOSE AWARE

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF GENERAL POPULATION, TARGET, COMMUNITY LEADERS, AND BAR PERSONNEL

# TABLE 26 PERCEPTION OF CHANGES IN THE AMOUNT OF INDIVIDUAL CONSUMPTION

	General Population								
	Т	otal	Polk	County	Dod	geville	Tomah		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Increased	50	7.0	11	5.6	16	6.5	23	8.4	
Decreased	58	8.1	16	8.1	16	6.5	26	9.5	
Stayed about the same	452	63.0	135	68.2	151	61.1	166	60.8	
Don't know	158	22.0	36	18.2	64	25.9	58	21.2	

		21-34 Year Old Target								
	Т	otal	Polk	County	Dod	geville	То	mah		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
Increased	74	11.5	42	16.5	22	9.2	10	6.7		
Decreased	9	1.4	2	0.8	3	1.3	4	2.7		
Stayed about the same	490	76.1	181	71.3	193	80.4	116	77.3		
Don't know	71	11.0	29	11.4	22	9.2	20	13.3		

	Bar Personnel									
	Т	otal	Polk	County	Dod	geville	То	mah		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
Increased	11	16.0	4	14.0	5	36.0	2	8.0		
Decreased	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Stayed about the same	56	84.0	24	86.0	9	64.0	23	92.0		
Don't know	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		

	Community Leaders									
	Т	otal	Polk	County	Dod	geville	То	mah		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
Increased	3	4.0	2	8.0	1	4.0	0	0.0		
Decreased	3	4.0	2	8.0	0	0.0	1	4.0		
Stayed about the same	52	68.0	17	68.0	16	59.0	19	79.0		
Don't know	18	24.0	4	16.0	10	37.0	4	17.0		

<sup>\*</sup>BOTH n AND % ARE BASED ON THOSE AWARE

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF GENERAL POPULATION, TARGET, COMMUNITY LEADERS, AND BAR PERSONNEL

### TABLE 27 PERCEPTION OF CHANGES IN WHERE PEOPLE ARE DRINKING

	General Population									
	T	otal	Polk	County	Dodgeville		То	mah		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
Drink at home	184	25.6	47	23.7	58	23.5	79	28.9		
Drink at bars	62	8.6	8	4.0	29	11.7	25	9.2		
No change	379	52.8	120	60.6	122	49.4	137	50.2		
Don't know	93	13.0	23	11.6	38	15.4	32	11.7		
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

			21-3	34 Year	Old T	arget		
	Total		Polk	County	Dod	geville	То	mah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Drink at home	58	9.0	26	10.2	20	8.3	12	8.0
Drink at bars	92	14.3	43	16.9	31	12.9	18	12.0
No change	455	70.7	176	69.3	174	72.5	105	70.0
Don't know	39	6.1	9	3.5	15	6.3	15	10.0

	Bar Personnel								
	Total		Polk	County	Dod	geville	То	mah	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Drink at home	10	14.9	6	21.4	2	14.3	2	8.0	
Drink at bars	18	26.9	8	28.6	7	50.0	3	12.0	
No change	37	55.2	13	46.4	4	28.6	20	80.0	
Don't know	2	3.0	1	3.6	1	7.1	0	0.0	

	Community Leaders								
	Total		Polk	County	Dod	geville	То	mah	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Drink at home	18	23.7	10	40.0	5	18.5	3	12.5	
Drink at bars	8	10.5	1	4.0	5	18.5	2	8.3	
No change	42	55.3	12	48.0	15	55.6	15	62.5	
Don't know	8	10.5	2	8.0	2	7.4	4	16.7	

<sup>\*</sup>BOTH n AND % ARE BASED ON THOSE AWARE

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF GENERAL POPULATION, TARGET, COMMUNITY LEADERS, AND BAR PERSONNEL

### TABLE 28 PERCEPTION OF CHANGES IN SALES LEVELS IN BARS

		Bar Personnel									
	Т	Total		County	Dod	geville	То	mah			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%			
Increase	23	34.3	13	46.4	6	42.9	4	16.0			
No change	41	61.2	14	50.0	8	57.1	19	76.0			
Decrease	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0			
Don't know	3	4.5	1	3.6	0	0.0	2	8.0			

	Community Leaders								
	Total		Polk	County	Dod	geville	То	mah	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Increase	11	14.5	5	20.0	3	11.1	3	12.5	
No change	34	44.7	12	48.0	11	40.7	11	45.8	
Decrease	1	1.3	0	0.0	11	40.7	1	4.2	
Don't know	30	39.5	8	32.0	13	48.1	9	37.5	

<sup>\*</sup>BOTH n AND % ARE BASED ON THOSE AWARE

## TABLE 29 PERCEPTION OF CHANGES IN INDIVIDUAL CONSUMPTION LEVELS AMONG PATRONS VISITING BARS

				Bar Pei	rsonn	el		
	Total		Polk	County	Dod	geville	То	mah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Increase	11	16.4	3	10.7	3	21.4	5	20.0
No change	54	80.6	25	89.3	9	64.3	20	80.0
Decrease	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Don't know	2	3.0	0	0.0	2	14.3	0	0.0

	Community Leaders								
	Т	Total		County	Dod	geville	То	mah	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Increase	1	1.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.2	
No change	51	67.1	18	72.0	16	59.3	17	70.8	
Decrease	2	2.6	2	8.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Don't know	22	28.9	5	20.0	11	40.7	6	25.0	

<sup>\*</sup>BOTH n AND % ARE BASED ON THOSE AWARE

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF COMMUNITY LEADERS AND BAR PERSONNEL

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF COMMUNITY LEADERS AND BAR PERSONNEL

# TABLE 30 PERCEPTION OF CHANGES IN THE NUMBER OF PATRONS VISITING BARS


		Bar Personnel								
	Т	Total		County	Dod	Dodgeville		mah		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
Increase	18	26.9	9	32.1	3	21.4	6	24.0		
No change	49	73.1	19	67.9	11	78.6	18	72.0		
Decrease	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Don't know	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		

	Community Leaders								
	Total		Polk	County	Dod	geville	То	mah	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Increase	5	6.6	4	16.0	1	3.7	0	0.0	
No change	47	61.8	16	64.0	12	44.4	19	79.2	
Decrease	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Don't know	24	31.6	5	20.0	14	51.9	5	20.8	


<sup>\*</sup>BOTH n AND % ARE BASED ON THOSE AWARE

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF COMMUNITY LEADERS AND BAR PERSONNEL

#### TABLE 31 MEAN NUMBER OF DRINKS ON NIGHT OF COUPON DISTRIBUTION



# TABLE 32 PROPORTION OF PATRONS VISITING MORE THAN ONE BAR ON NIGHT OF COUPON DISTRIBUTION



## TABLE 33 PERCEPTIONS OF CHANGES IN THE USE OF OTHER DESIGNATED DRIVER PLANS

	Bar Personnel								
	Т	otal	Polk	County	Dod	geville	То	mah	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Yes, increase	18	26.9	10	35.7	3	21.0	5	20.0	
Yes, decrease	3	4.5	0	0.0	1	7.0	2	8.0	
No change	30	44.8	12	43.0	5	36.0	13	52.0	
Don't know / no answer	16	23.9	6	21.0	5	36.0	5	20.0	

	Community Leaders								
	Total		Polk	County	Dod	geville	То	mah	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Yes, increase	24	32.0	12	48.0	8	30.0	4	17.0	
Yes, decrease	4	5.0	0	0.0	3	11.0	1	4.0	
No change	23	30.0	9	36.0	5	19.0	9	38.0	
Don't know / no answer	25	33.0	4	16.0	11	41.0	10	42.0	

<sup>\*</sup>BOTH n AND % ARE BASED ON THOSE AWARE

# TABLE 34 PERCEPTION OF SHIFTS FROM THE USE OF DESIGNATED DRIVER PLANS TO THE USE OF THE ROAD CREW

		Bar Personnel								
	Т	otal	Polk	County	Dod	geville	То	mah		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
Yes	31	46.0	14	50.0	6	43.0	11	44.0		
No	11	16.0	2	7.0	2	14.0	7	28.0		
Don't know	25	37.0	12	43.0	6	43.0	7	28.0		

			Co	mmuni	ty Lea	ders			
	Т	Total Polk County Dodgeville Toma							
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Yes	35	46.0	13	52.0	14	52.0	8	33.0	
No	11	14.0	4	16.0	0	0.0	7	29.0	
Don't know	30	39.0	8	32.0	13	48.0	9	38.0	

<sup>\*</sup>BOTH n AND % ARE BASED ON THOSE AWARE

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF COMMUNITY LEADERS AND BAR PERSONNEL

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF COMMUNITY LEADERS AND BAR PERSONNEL

# TABLE 35 PERCEPTION OF WHETHER AN INCREASE IN DESIGNATED DRIVER BEHAVIOR WOULD HAVE OCCURRED IN THE ABSENCE OF THE ROAD CREW

				Bar Pei	rsonn	el			
	Т	otal	Polk	County	Dod	geville	Tomah		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Yes	22	33.0	10	36.0	6	43.0	6	24.0	
No	24	36.0	8	29.0	4	29.0	12	48.0	
Don't know	21	31.0	10	36.0	4	29.0	7	28.0	

	Community Leaders										
	Т	Total		Polk County		Dodgeville		mah			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%			
Yes	20	26.0	8	32.0	6	22.0	6	25.0			
No	32	42.0	12	48.0	10	37.0	10	42.0			
Don't know	24	32.0	5	20.0	11	41.0	8	33.0			

<sup>\*</sup>BOTH n AND % ARE BASED ON THOSE AWARE

## TABLE 36 PERCEPTION OF CHANGE IN RESPONSIBILITY FOR BEHAVIOR RELATED TO DRINKING AND DRIVING

				Bar Pe	rsonn	el		
	T	otal	Polk	County	Dod	geville	Tomah	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
More responsibility	50	75.0	21	75.0	14	100.0	15	60.0
Less responsibility	3	4.0	2	7.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
No change	14	21.0	5	18.0	0	0.0	9	36.0
Don't know	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

	Community Leaders									
	Total		Polk County		Dod	geville	То	mah		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
More responsibility	47	62.0	20	80.0	16	59.0	11	46.0		
Less responsibility	5	7.0	0	0.0	4	15.0	1	4.0		
No change	15	20.0	2	8.0	4	15.0	9	38.0		
Don't know	15	20.0	2	8.0	4	15.0	9	38.0		

<sup>\*</sup>BOTH n AND % ARE BASED ON THOSE AWARE

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF COMMUNITY LEADERS AND BAR PERSONNEL

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF COMMUNITY LEADERS AND BAR PERSONNEL

### TABLE 37 MODE OF TRANSPORTATION USED IN THE BAR COUPON STUDY

			Treat	ment (	Commu	unities						Treatment Communities									
	To	tal	Polk (	County	Dodg	eville	Tor	nah	Cor	ntrol		To	tal	Polk C	ounty	Dodgeville		Tomah		Control	
2002	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	2003	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	
rive Self	461	64.0	123	70.3	72	74.2	266	59.4	388	55.8	Drive Self	362	61.7	90	62.1	75	75.8	197	57.4	222	
ide Service		٠	-	-	-			-		-	Ride Service	100	17.0	29	20.0	4	4.0	67	19.5	20	
ublic Transportation	41	5.7	2	1.1	0	0.0	39	8.7	17	2.4	Public Transportation	6	1.0	1	0.7	0	0.0	5	1.5	1	
omeone else drove	161	22.4	40	22.9	19	19.6	102	22.8	200	28.8	Someone else drove	76	12.9	16	11.0	14	14.1	46	13.4	85 2	
/alked	47	6.5	8	4.6	5	5.2	34	7.6	80	11.5	Walked	40	6.8	9	6.2	6	6.1	25	7.3	34	
one of the above	10	1.4	2	1.1	1	1.0	7	1.6	10	1.4	None of the above	3	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	0.9	9	
2002			Treat	ment (	Commi	ınities					2003			Treatr	ment C	:ommı	ınities				
Men	To	tal	Polk (				Tor	nah	Cor	ntrol	Men	To	tal	Polk C			eville	Ton	nah	Cont	
21-34 Years Old	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	21-34 Years Old	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	
rive Self	97	67.4	39	78.0	15	93.8	43	55.1	123	60.3	Drive Self	62	52.5	23	52.3	7	53.8	32	52.5	30	
ide Service	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Ride Service	26	22.0	10	22.7	1	7.7	15	24.6	5	
ublic Transportation	12	8.3	2	4.0	0	0.0	10	12.8	5	2.5	Public Transportation	1	0.8	1	2.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	
omeone else drove	29	20.1	8	16.0	1	6.3	20	25.6	49	24.0	Someone else drove	18	15.3	4	9.1	3	23.1	11	18.0	22 :	
/alked	5	3.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	6.4	24	11.8	Walked	10	8.5	5	11.4	2	15.4	3	4.9	10	
one of the above	1	1.1	1	2.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	1.5	None of the above	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	

2002											
Women	To	tal	Polk (	County	Dodg	jeville	Tor	mah	Control		
21-34 Years Old	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Drive Self	52	55.3	18	66.7	8	72.7	26	46.4	57	45.2	
Ride Service	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Public Transportation	4	4.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	7.1	4	3.2	
Someone else drove	32	34.0	7	25.9	2	18.2	23	41.1	50	39.7	
Walked	6	6.4	2	7.4	1	9.1	3	5.4	14	11.1	
None of the above	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.8	
*ANALYSIS DEDIVED EDOM	2002 41	ID 3003	BARCO	I IDON I	DHONE	SLIBVE	OF BO	TH TRE	ATMEN	IT AND	

2003		Treatment Communities									
Women	To	tal	Polk C	County	Dodg	eville	Tor	nah	Coi	ntrol	
21-34 Years Old	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Drive Self	47	53.4	16	69.6	8	66.7	23	43.4	46	66.7	
Ride Service	18	20.5	4	17.4	0	0.0	14	26.4	2	2.9	
Public Transportation	1	1.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.9	0	0.0	
Someone else drove	13	14.8	1	4.3	2	16.7	10	18.9	18	26.1	
Walked	9	10.2	2	8.7	2	16.7	5	9.4	2	2.9	
None of the above	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.4	

ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM 2002 AND 2003 BAR COUPON PHONE SUR RIDE SERVICE WAS NOT AVAILABLE IN 2002 BAR COUPON SURVEY

# TABLE 38 PERCEPTION OF CHANGE IN NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO DRIVE AFTER EXCESSIVE DRINKING

			G	eneral F	opula	ation		
	Т	Total		Polk County		geville	То	mah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Increased	42	5.8	10	5.1	15	6.1	17	6.2
Decreased	342	47.6	100	50.5	114	46.2	128	46.9
Stayed about the same	221	30.8	61	30.8	77	31.2	83	30.4
Don't know	113	15.7	27	13.6	41	16.6	45	16.5

			21-3	34 Year	Old 7	Target		
	Т	otal	Polk	County	Dod	geville	То	mah
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Increased	26	4.0	13	5.1	7	2.9	6	4.0
Decreased	422	65.5	161	63.4	162	67.5	99	66.0
Stayed about the same	149	23.1	63	24.8	59	24.6	27	18.0
Don't know	47	7.3	17	6.7	12	5.0	18	12.0

		Bar Personnel										
	Т	otal	Polk	County	Dod	geville	То	mah				
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%				
Increased	1	1.0	1	4.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
Decreased	54	81.0	19	68.0	13	93.0	22	88.0				
Stayed about the same	12	18.0	8	29.0	1	7.0	3	12.0				
Don't know	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				

			Co	mmuni	ty Lea	ders		
	Т	otal	Polk	County	Dod	geville	Tomah	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Increased	4	5.0	1	4.0	2	7.0	1	4.0
Decreased	45	59.0	14	56.0	17	63.0	14	58.0
Stayed about the same	16	21.0	5	20.0	4	15.0	7	29.0
Don't know	16	21.0	5	20.0	4	15.0	7	29.0

<sup>\*</sup>BOTH n AND % ARE BASED ON THOSE AWARE

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF GENERAL POPULATION, TARGET, COMMUNITY LEADERS, AND BAR PERSONNEL

# TABLE 39 PERCEPTION OF CHANGE IN FREQUENCY OF DRIVING AFTER EXCESSIVE DRINKING OF INDIVIDUALS

	General Population									
	Total		Polk County		Dod	geville	Tomah			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
Increased	43	6.0	11	5.6	14	5.7	18	6.6		
Decreased	265	36.9	69	34.8	92	37.2	104	38.1		
Stayed about the same	284	39.6	87	43.9	93	37.7	104	38.1		
Don't know	126	17.5	31	15.7	48	19.4	47	17.2		

	21-34 Year Old Target									
	Т	otal	Polk	County	Dod	geville	То	mah		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
Increased	26	4.0	11	5.6	14	5.7	6	4.0		
Decreased	308	47.8	69	34.8	92	37.2	72	48.0		
Stayed about the same	249	38.7	87	43.9	93	37.7	52	34.7		
Don't know	61	9.5	31	15.7	48	19.4	20	13.3		

	Bar Personnel									
	T	otal	Polk	County	Dod	geville	Tomah			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
Increased	1	1.0	1	4.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Decreased	41	61.0	13	46.0	13	93.0	15	60.0		
Stayed about the same	23	34.0	14	50.0	1	7.0	8	32.0		
Don't know	2 3.0		0	0.0	0	0.0	2	8.0		

	Community Leaders									
	T	otal	Polk	County	Dod	geville	Tomah			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
Increased	3	4.0	1	4.0	2	7.0	0	0.0		
Decreased	32 42.0		11	44.0	10	37.0	11	46.0		
Stayed about the same	28	37.0	11	44.0	8	30.0	9	38.0		
Don't know	28			44.0	8	30.0	9	38.0		

<sup>\*</sup>BOTH n AND % ARE BASED ON THOSE AWARE

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF GENERAL POPULATION, TARGET, COMMUNITY LEADERS, AND BAR PERSONNEL

### TABLE 40 KNOWLEDGE OF OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAVE USED THE ROAD CREW

		General Population								
	Т	otal	Polk	County Dodgeville			Tomah			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
Yes	273	38.0	101	51.0	87	35.2	85	31.1		
No	443	61.7	97	49.0	159	64.4	187	68.5		
Don't know	2	0.3	0	0.0	1	0.4	1	0.4		

		21-34 Year Old Target									
	Т	otal	Polk	County	Dod	geville	То	mah			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%			
Yes	391	60.7	168	66.1	150	62.5	73	48.7			
No	253	39.3	86	33.9	90	37.5	77	51.3			
Don't know	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0			

<sup>\*</sup>BOTH n AND % ARE BASED ON THOSE AWARE

### TABLE 41 DEMOGRAPHICS OF RIDERS

		21	-34		35+					
	Ma	le	Fem	ale	Ма	le	Female			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
All Communities	5,167	30.4	3,707	21.8	5,123	30.1	2,995	17.6		
Polk County	3,188	32.7	2,539	26.1	2,316	23.8	1,693	17.4		
Dodgeville	701	33.1	572	27.0	465	22.0	378	17.9		
Tomah	1,278	24.9	596	11.6	2,342	45.6	924	18.0		

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM RIDE COUNT DATA

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM PHONE SURVEY OF GENERAL POPULATION AND TARGET

<sup>\*</sup>THERE ARE 2,765 CASES WHERE AGE, GENDER OR BOTH ARE MISSING

# TABLE 42 ORIGINATION AND DESTINATION OF RIDES BY QUARTER AND BY COMMUNITY


	Home t	o Bar			Bar to	Home	Total	
Quarter of Year	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Jul- Sep 2002	289	9.8	1,447	49.3	1,199	40.9	2,935	15.5
Oct-Dec 2002	354	7.1	2,858	57.0	1,804	36.0	5,016	26.6
Jan-Mar 2003	399	8.0	2,659	53.0	1,960	39.1	5,018	26.6
Apr-Jun 2003	435	7.4	2,767	46.8	2,711	45.8	5,913	31.3
Total: % of Total	1,477	7.8	9,731	51.5	7,674	40.6	18,882	


	Home t	to Bar	Bar Bar to Bar		Bar to	Home	Total	
Community	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Polk County	709	6.3	8,546	76.3	1,950	17.4	11,205	59.3
Dodgeville	387	14.6	1,101	41.4	1,169	44.0	2,657	14.1
Tomah	381	7.6	84	1.7	4,555	90.7	5,020	26.6
Total; % of Total	1,477	7.8	9,731	51.5	7,674	40.6	18,882	

<sup>\*</sup>ANALYSIS DERIVED FROM RIDE COUNT DATA

<sup>\*875</sup> RIDES GIVEN IN OTHER ALCOHOL RELATED CIRCUMSTANCES NOT INVOLVING BARS

# TABLE 43 PROPORTION OF PATRONS DRIVING SELF WHILE ALCOHOL-IMPAIRED ON NIGHT OF COUPON DISTRIBUTION





# TABLE 44 MEAN NUMBER OF ALCOHOL-IMPAIRED DRIVING INCIDENTS PER TWO-WEEK PERIOD

